IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION NO : 19318 of 2002

Between:

Kottapalli Seeta Rama Prasad, S/o Koteswara Rao,
Kankipadu Village, Krishna District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Commissioner of Police, Vijayawada.
2 Deveneni Uma Maheswara Rao, S/o Kotaiah,
R/o Edupugallu Kankipadu Mandal,
Krishna District.
3 The Assistant Commissioner of Police, II
Vijayawada
4 The Sub Inspector of Police, Kankipadu Police Station
Krishna District.

(R3 and R4 are impleaded as per Court Order in W.P.M.P.No.24850/02 dated 8-10-2002)

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ or order or direction declaring that the action of the 1st Respondent in interfering with the Civil disputes between the Petitioner and the 2nd Respondent is arbitrary, illegal and unjust and to direct the 1st respondent not to interfere in any manner whatsoever with the petitioner and also the dispute between the petitioner and the 2nd respondent and to pass such other relief or reliefs which this Hon'ble Court deems just and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.AMBADIPUDI SATYANARAYANA

Counsel for the Respondents 1, 3 and 4: GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

Alleging that the Police are interfering in the civil disputes between the petitioner and the second respondent in connection with certain promissory notes, the present writ petition is filed seeking a direction to respondent Nos.1, 3 and 4 not to interfere in the civil disputes.

This Court while admitting the writ petition passed interim orders in W.P.M.P.No.24274 of 2002. A counter affidavit is filed by the Sub-Inspector of Police, Kankipadu Police Station, Vijayawada. A categorical statement is made that the Police never interfered in the civil disputes, which is not denied by filing the reply affidavit.

Recording the statement made in the counter affidavit, the writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

_____

(V.V.S.RAO, J)

23.06.2005

vs

To

1 The Commissioner of Police, Vijayawada.
2 The Assistant Commissioner of Police, II Town
Vijayawada
3 The Sub Inspector of Police, Kankipadu Police Station
Krishna District.

4 2 CCs to the Government Pleader for Home, High Court Buildings, Hyderabd.

5 2 CD copies.